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## 1 Introduction

IBI Group was retained by Marz Homes (Smithville West) Inc. (the "Client") to undertake a transportation impact study (TIS) for a proposed 25 acre subdivision development (the "proposed development") located in the Township of West Lincoln (the "Township").
The purpose of this TIS is to analyze potential traffic impacts generated by the proposed development on the surrounding road network. This TIS takes into consideration background growth, future road network changes, and other developments in the area.

### 1.1 Project Understanding

This section provides a detailed description of the site and the extents of the study area.

### 1.1.1 Site Description

The proposed development is located in the community of Smithville, within the Regional Municipality of Niagara (Niagara Region). The lands of the proposed development are located north of West Street (Regional Road 20), south of the Canadian Pacific Rail (CPR) tracks, and west of South Grimsby Road 5.

The land just north of the proposed development is currently farmland, with plans for a 14 acre residential subdivision, as described in Section 3.3. To the east are single detached residences abutting South Grimsby Road 5. The St. Martin Elementary School is located southwest of the proposed development, situated at the southwest corner of the West Street \& Streamside Drive intersection. Further south on Streamside Drive are single detached residences. The areas west of the proposed development contain a Greek Community Centre and undeveloped lands.
An aerial view of the proposed development within the context of the study area is provided below in Exhibit 1-1.

Exhibit 1-1: Proposed Development Location Map


Base Map Source: Google Maps. Retrieved January 19, 2020 from https://www.google.ca/maps/@43.1045518,79.5549657,1753m/data=!3m1!1e3

The proposed development is located within the areas known as the Northwest Quadrant, as illustrated in Exhibit 1-2. A secondary plan for the Northwest Quadrant was approved by the Township Council in June 2017, which identifies areas for low, medium, and high density development, commercial development, parks/trails, and road networks. According to the Township's Official Plan (October 2018), the implementation of the secondary plan is planned to occur over the next 20 years.

## Exhibit 1-2: Northwest Quadrant Secondary Plan Area



Source: Township of West Lincoln Northwest Quadrant Secondary Plan Background Report (March 2016).

### 1.1.2 Development Proposal

The proposed development will consist of approximately 224 residential units and approximately $1,303 \mathrm{~m}^{2}\left(14,025 \mathrm{ft}^{2}\right)$ of gross floor area (GFA) of mixed use commercial space located at the southeast corner of the development land. The proposed site plan is presented in Exhibit 1-3.

MARZ HOMES - 'THRIVE' 25 ACRE, TOWNSHIP OF WEST LINCOLN (SMITHVILLE), NIAGARA REGION
Prepared for Marz Homes (Smithville West) Inc.
Exhibit 1-3: Proposed Site Plan


### 1.1.3 Study Period

Based on the proposed development's land uses and size, the weekday AM peak period (7:00 AM - 9:00 AM) and weekday PM peak period (4:00 PM - 6:00 PM) were analyzed.

### 1.1.4 Study Area

The study area intersections which are most likely to be impacted by development site traffic consist of the following locations, as shown in Exhibit 1-4:

- West Street (Regional Road 20) \& South Grimsby Road 6 (unsignalized);
- West Street (Regional Road 20) \& South Grimsby Road 5 (unsignalized);
- West Street (Regional Road 20) \& Streamside Drive (unsignalized); and
- West Street (Regional Road 20) \& Station Street / Griffin Street North (Regional Road 14) (unsignalized).

Exhibit 1-4: Existing Study Area Lane Configuration


## 22020 Existing Traffic Conditions

This section documents the existing road network, facilities, and weekday peak hour operations at the studied intersections.

### 2.1 Existing Road Network

Exhibit 2-1 below summarizes the characteristics of the study area roadways.
Exhibit 2-1: Study Roadway Characteristics

| Street Name* | Type | Orientation | No of <br> Lanes | Traffic <br> Direction | From | To | On-Street <br> parking | Speed <br> Limit <br> $(k m / h)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| West Street <br> (RR 20) | Regional | East - West | 2 | Two-way | Station <br> Street <br> (RR 14) | South <br> Grimsby <br> Road 6 | Prohibited | 50 |
| South Grimsby <br> Road 6 | Local | North - South | 2 | Two-way | West Street <br> (RR 20) | Smithville <br> Road <br> (RR 14) | Restricted | 50 |
| Streamside Drive | Local | North - South | 2 | Two-way | West Street <br> (RR 20) | Creek View <br> Drive | Permitted | 50 |
| South Grimsby <br> Road 5 | Local | North - South | 2 | Two-way | Young <br> Street | West Street <br> (RR 20) | Restricted | 50 |
| Station Street <br> (RR 14) | Regional | North - South | 2 | Two-way | Spring <br> Creek Road | West Street <br> (RR 20) | East Side <br> Only | 50 |
| Griffin Street North <br> (RR 14) | Regional | North - South | 2 | Two-way | West Street <br> (RR 20) | Mill Street | Permitted <br> with <br> Restrictions | 50 |

*RR = Regional Road

### 2.2 Public Transit

The Town of Lincoln operates a public transit service, uLinc. However, based on a review of the existing transit service map, the routes in operation do not extend to the proposed development or in acceptable walking proximity of the study area. Therefore, transportation modes in the study area are expected to remain largely automobile dependent.

### 2.3 Pedestrian and Cyclist Facilities

There have been several improvements to pedestrian and cycling infrastructure implemented in proximity to the proposed development in recent years.
In 2017, a pedestrian crossover was installed at the westbound approach of the West Street (Regional Road 20) and South Grimsby Road 5 intersection. This installation is complemented with new sidewalks that run along the south side of West Street between Streamside Drive and South Grimsby Road 5. West of Streamside Drive, the sidewalks are discontinued. There are also new sidewalks along both sides of Streamside Drive. There is a sidewalk along South Grimsby

Road 5 along its eastern side. Conversely, South Grimsby Road 6 does not have any pedestrian nor cyclist facilities within the study area.
Moreover, bicycle lanes have been installed along West Street (for both directions). These bicycle lanes extend from east of Streamside Drive to Smithville's downtown core, and provide connections to Niagara Region's West Lincoln and Wainfleet Bicycle Route.

### 2.4 Turning Movement Counts

Ontario Traffic Inc. (OTI) was previously commissioned by IBI Group to undertake turning movement count (TMCs) surveys on Wednesday, October 17, 2017 as part of the planned nearby 14 acre development (discussed further in Section 3.3-Background Developments). The surveys performed on this date were conducted at all of this proposed development's study area intersections, except for the West Street \& Streamside Drive intersection.

Consequently, the TMC survey for this intersection was also commissioned by IBI Group for OTI to undertake on Monday November 25, 2019. The TMCs were conducted from 7:00 AM to 9:00 AM (AM peak period) and from 4:00 PM to 6:00 PM (PM peak period).

To establish a base for comparison among all TMC data, traffic volumes from the corresponding surveys were subjected to growth to the existing year (2020), using a growth factor of $0.4 \%$ per annum. This growth factor was derived from West Lincoln Township population forecast data from Niagara Region's Official Plan, and is described in further detail in Section 3.1.
The original turning movement count data can be found in Appendix A. The 2020 existing volumes used for the traffic analysis are presented in Exhibit 2-2.
Exhibit 2-2: 2020 Existing Conditions Traffic Volumes


Note: The arrows in this diagram do not represent the lane configuration and are meant to represent turning movements.

### 2.5 Analysis of Traffic Conditions

Using the TMCs described in Section 2.4, study area intersections were analyzed using the Synchro 9.1 analysis software, which is based on the Highway Capacity Manual (HCM) methodology. Based on the Niagara Region Guidelines for Traffic Impact Studies (May 2012), the following criteria were used for identifying critical movements at unsignalized intersections:

- Level of service (LOS) "D" or worse; and/or
- $\quad 95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queue lengths exceed available storage.

Exhibit 2-3 details existing traffic operations at the study area intersections for the weekday AM and PM peak hours. Synchro output reports are found in Appendix B.
Exhibit 2-3: 2020 Existing Traffic Conditions - Analysis Summary

| Intersection | Intersection |  | Lane |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | LOS | Delay (s) | Mvmt | LOS | Delay (s) | v/c <br> Ratio | 95th \% Queue (m) | Storage Capacity (m) |
| AM Peak Hour |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| West Street (RR 20) \& South Grimsby Road 6 | A | 1.9 | WBL | A | 7.9 | 0.02 | 0 | 100 |
|  |  |  | NBL/R | B | 12.5 | 0.15 | 4 | - |
| West Street (RR 20) \& Streamside Drive | A | 2.7 | WBL | A | 8.1 | 0.07 | 2 | 80 |
|  |  |  | NBL/R | B | 13.0 | 0.17 | 5 | - |
| West Street (RR 20) \& South Grimsby Road 5 | A | 1.9 | EBL | A | 8.1 | 0.03 | 1 | 65 |
|  |  |  | SBL/R | B | 13.3 | 0.16 | 4 | - |
| PM Peak Hour |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| West Street (RR 20) \& South Grimsby Road 6 | A | 0.9 | WBL | A | 8.4 | 0.03 | 1 | 100 |
|  |  |  | NBL/R | B | 13.0 | 0.07 | 2 | - |
| West Street (RR 20) \& Streamside Drive | A | 1.1 | WBL | A | 8.3 | 0.03 | 1 | 80 |
|  |  |  | NBL/R | B | 12.4 | 0.09 | 2 | - |
| West Street (RR 20) \& South Grimsby Road 5 | A | 1.7 | EBL | A | 8.0 | 0.03 | 1 | 65 |
|  |  |  | SBL/R | B | 13.5 | 0.17 | 5 | - |

Note: LOS denotes level of service, while v/c represents the volume-to-capacity ratio.
Based on the Synchro analysis, the following observations were made for the weekday AM and PM peak hours:

- All study area intersections are presently operating well within capacity limits (volume/capacity ratios < 1.00); and
- Queues for all movements do not exceed their respective lane storage capacities.

It should be noted that the HCM methodology does not provide guidance for instances at threelegged intersections in which both of the following circumstances are met:

- The side street approach is STOP controlled; and
- On the major street, only the southbound direction is STOP controlled.

Both of these criteria are met at the West Street \& Station Street / Griffin Street North intersection, whereby West Street acts as the side street and Station Street / Griffin Street North is referred to as the major street. The movements most likely to experience operational constraints at this intersection consist of the eastbound left turn and northbound left turn movements.

To address this HCM methodology limitation, a sensitivity analysis was undertaken, whereby STOP control was both implemented and removed at both major street approaches. As the existing intersection's control configuration features only one major street approach subjected to stop control (i.e. the southbound approach), the operational performance of this intersection is likely to fall somewhere between a situation in which the major street approaches are uncontrolled and a situation whereby stop control is implemented at both major street approaches.
The results of the sensitivity analysis, under 2020 existing conditions, are summarized below in Exhibit 2-4. Full Synchro reports pertaining to the sensitivity analysis are provided in Appendix C.

Exhibit 2-4: Sensitivity Analysis Summary for Station Street / Griffin Street Traffic Control - Existing Conditions

| Control Scenario at Station / Griffin Street Approaches | Critical Movement |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | EBL |  |  |  | NBL |  |  |  |
|  | LOS | Delay <br> (s) | v/c Ratio | $\begin{gathered} 95^{\text {h }} \% \\ \text { Queue (m) } \end{gathered}$ | LOS | Delay <br> (s) | v/c Ratio | $\begin{gathered} 95^{\text {th }} \% \\ \text { Queue (m) } \end{gathered}$ |
| AM Peak Hour |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All-way STOP-control | B | 11.6 | - | - | C | 19.7 | - | - |
| Uncontrolled | C | 18.7 | 0.49 | 20 | A | 6.0 | 0.22 | 7 |
| PM Peak Hour |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All-way STOP-control | B | 13.7 | - | - | C | 18.6 | - | - |
| Uncontrolled | C | 19.3 | 0.57 | 27 | A | 5.8 | 0.20 | 6 |

Note: Under the all-way STOP-control scenario, $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queue lengths and lane v/c ratios are not provided by the HCM methodology.

From Exhibit 2-4, it can be seen that, under the two theoretical control scenarios, the eastbound left turn movement at the West Street \& Station Street / Griffin Street North intersection would operate within capacity, with levels of service ranging from LOS 'B' to 'C'. Similarly, the northbound left turn movement at the intersection would operate at a LOS ' $C$ ' under an all-way-STOP condition.

Therefore, it can be concluded that operations associated with the eastbound left turn and northbound left turn movements at the West Street \& Station Street / Griffin Street North intersection, under its current control configuration, are also operating within capacity limits and acceptable levels of service.

In general, under existing conditions, the study area intersections operate with sufficient reserve capacity during the weekday AM and PM peak hours. There are no intersections or movements that are considered to be operating at critical levels.

## 32025 Future Background Conditions

### 3.1 Horizon Year

As per the Niagara Region Guidelines for Transportation Impact Studies (May 2012), a five year horizon from the date of this TIS (i.e. year 2025) was utilized, which also correlates with the proposed development generating less than 500 peak hour direction trips upon completion, as discussed in Section 4.2.

### 3.2 Growth Rate

Based on the Niagara Region Official Plan (August 2014), the Township of West Lincoln's population is forecasted to increase from $16,000(2016)$ to 16,900 (2031), which translates to a $0.4 \%$ annual growth rate. This rate was used as the future background traffic growth rate for the study area corridors (i.e. West Street (Regional Road 20) and Station Street / Griffin Street North), and is regarded as conservative, as every new resident is not expected to drive. Side street traffic volumes, such as on South Grimsby Road 6, Streamside Drive, and South Grimsby Road 5, were not subjected to the background traffic growth rate under the assumption that volumes on these roadways will not experience appreciable changes.

### 3.3 Background Developments

On October 2, 2018, IBI Group submitted a Transportation Impact Study for a proposed 14 acre residential subdivision development, also located in the Northwest Quadrant (as discussed in Section 1.1). This proposed 14 acre subdivision is slated to be located north of the proposed development and consists of 136 dwelling units ( 22 single detached units, 24 semi-detached units, and 90 standard townhouse units).

The trips generated by the proposed 14 acre subdivision are considered in the present analysis as background traffic, given that the generated volumes are likely to impact daily traffic patterns, as demonstrated in Exhibit 3-1.
Exhibit 3-1: Background Development Trip Generation (14 Acre Subdivision)

| Land Use | Weekday AM <br> Peak Hour |  |  | Weekday PM <br> Peak Hour |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | In | Out | Total | $\ln$ | Out | Total |
| Single Family Detached, <br> 22 units <br> (ITE LUC 210) | 7 | 21 | 28 | 18 | 10 | 28 |
| Semi-Detached, Townhomes, <br> 114 units <br> (ITE LUC 230) | 11 | 45 | 56 | 48 | 27 | 75 |
| Total Trips | $\mathbf{1 8}$ | $\mathbf{6 6}$ | $\mathbf{8 4}$ | $\mathbf{6 6}$ | $\mathbf{3 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 3}$ |

Note: ITE LUC - Institute of Transportation Engineers Land Use Code.
From the above exhibit, 84 ( 18 inbound and 66 outbound) and 103 ( 66 inbound and 37 outbound) trips are estimated to be generated by the proposed 14 acre residential subdivision during the weekday AM and PM peak hours, respectively.

Aside from the aforementioned proposed subdivision, there are no other notable background developments within the study area with the potential for generating additional traffic.

### 3.4 Analysis of Traffic Conditions

To establish the future background condition traffic volumes, the existing traffic volumes were grown to 2025 using a $0.4 \%$ annual growth rate and the trips generated by the background development were added. Exhibit 3-2 illustrates 2025 future background traffic volumes during the weekday AM and PM peak hours.
Exhibit 3-2: 2025 Future Background Conditions Traffic Volumes


Note: The arrows in this diagram do not represent the lane configuration and are meant to represent turning movements.

Operations of the study area intersections by peak hour are summarized in Exhibit 3-3. Full 2025 future background Synchro reports are provided in Appendix D.
Exhibit 3-3: 2025 Future Background Conditions - Analysis Summary

| Intersection | Intersection |  | Lane |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | LOS | Delay <br> (s) | Mvmt | LOS | Delay <br> (s) | v/c <br> Ratio | 95th \% Queue (m) | Storage Capacity (m) |
| AM Peak Hour |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| West Street (RR 20) \& South Grimsby Road 6 | A | 1.8 | WBL | A | 7.9 | 0.02 | 0 | 100 |
|  |  |  | NBL/R | B | 13.1 | 0.16 | 4 | - |
| West Street (RR 20) \& Streamside Drive | A | 2.6 | WBL | A | 8.1 | 0.07 | 2 | 80 |
|  |  |  | NBL/R | B | 13.5 | 0.18 | 5 | - |
| West Street (RR 20) \& South Grimsby Road 5 | A | 2.5 | EBL | A | 8.1 | 0.03 | 1 | 65 |
|  |  |  | SBL/R | B | 13.5 | 0.22 | 6 | - |
| PM Peak Hour |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| West Street (RR 20) \& South Grimsby Road 6 | A | 0.9 | WBL | A | 8.5 | 0.03 | 1 | 100 |
|  |  |  | NBL/R | B | 13.5 | 0.08 | 2 | - |
| West Street (RR 20) \& Streamside Drive | A | 1.1 | WBL | A | 8.4 | 0.03 | 1 | 80 |
|  |  |  | NBL/R | B | 12.8 | 0.10 | 3 | - |
| West Street (RR 20) \& South Grimsby Road 5 | A | 2.1 | EBL | A | 8.1 | 0.08 | 1 | 65 |
|  |  |  | SBL/R | B | 14.0 | 0.04 | 6 | - |

Note: LOS denotes level of service, while v/c represents the volume-to-capacity ratio.
During the weekday AM and PM peak hours, the following traffic operations are anticipated:

- All study area intersections are expected to continue operating well within capacity limits (volume/capacity ratios < 1.00); and
- Queues are expected to not exceed their respective lane storage capacities.

As previously noted in Section 2.5, a sensitivity analysis was undertaken for the West Street \& Station Street / Griffin Street North intersection to address the HCM methodology's inability of analyzing three-legged intersections whereby the side street and only one major street approach is subject to STOP control. This sensitivity analysis has been repeated for 2025 future background conditions to discern whether operations at this intersection are acceptable. Exhibit 3-4 provides a summary of the results from the sensitivity analysis.

Exhibit 3-4: Sensitivity Analysis Summary for Station Street / Griffin Street Traffic Control - Future Background Conditions

| Control Scenario at Station / Griffin Street Approaches | Critical Movement |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | EBL |  |  |  | NBL |  |  |  |
|  | LOS | Delay <br> (s) | v/c Ratio | $\begin{gathered} 95^{\text {th }} \% \\ \text { Queue (m) } \end{gathered}$ | LOS | Delay <br> (s) | v/c <br> Ratio | $\begin{gathered} 95^{\text {th }} \% \\ \text { Queue (m) } \end{gathered}$ |
| AM Peak Hour |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All-way STOP-control | B | 11.8 | - | - | C | 20.7 | - | - |
| Uncontrolled | C | 19.6 | 0.51 | 22 | A | 6.0 | 0.23 | 7 |
| PM Peak Hour |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All-way STOP-control | B | 14.1 | - | - | C | 19.9 | - | - |
| Uncontrolled | C | 20.3 | 0.59 | 29 | A | 5.8 | 0.20 | 6 |

Note: Under the all-way STOP-control scenario, $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queue lengths and lane v/c ratios are not provided by the HCM methodology.

Based on Exhibit 3-4, the eastbound left turn movement at the West Street \& Station Street / Griffin Street North intersection is expected to operate without any significant capacity constraints or delays during the weekday AM or PM peak hours under any of the theoretical control scenarios, as this movement's LOS ranges from LOS ' $B$ ' to ' $C$ '. Similarly, the northbound left turn movement at this intersection is anticipated to operate at a LOS ' C ' under all-way STOP conditions.

As there are no movements considered critical under any of these theoretical traffic control scenarios, it is expected that, under 2025 future background operations, this intersection will operate within capacity and at acceptable levels of service.

## 42025 Future Total Conditions

This section of the reports analyzes the impact of the proposed development on the future background conditions in 2025.

### 4.1 Proposed Development

The client is proposing to develop a 25 acre subdivision, consisting of 224 residential units and $1,303 \mathrm{~m}^{2}\left(14,025 \mathrm{ft}^{2}\right)$ of GFA of commercial space. This commercial space is concentrated at the southeast corner of the proposed development. The various types of residential units are tabulated in Exhibit 4-1.
Exhibit 4-1: Proposed Residential Unit Type Summary

| Unit Type | Unit Count |
| :--- | :---: |
| Bungalow Units | 26 |
| Back to Back Units | 28 |
| Townhouse Units | 97 |
| Single-Family Detached | 41 |
| Mixed-use Units (Ground Floor <br> Commercial) | 32 |
| Total | $\mathbf{2 2 4}$ |

As shown on the provided site plan (Exhibit 1-3), three full-movement accesses are proposed. One access will be provided at the south end of the proposed development, connecting to West Street. Two accesses will be provided on the southeast side of the proposed development to connect to South Grimsby Road 5.

There are also additional two potential accesses considered for the east side of the proposed development to connect to South Grimsby Road 5 from where cul-de-sacs are depicted on the site plan. These additional two accesses may be considered for construction at a future date. Due to the uncertain implementation timeframe, this TIS assumes that they will not be operational within the study's horizon timeframe and have therefore been excluded in the analysis presented herein.

The future lane configuration, with the proposed development, is illustrated in Exhibit 4-2.

Exhibit 4-2: Future Study Area Lane Configuration


### 4.2 Trip Generation

The gross trips anticipated to be generated by the proposed development are examined in this section.

### 4.2.1 Gross Trip Generation

Based on 41 single-family detached units, 183 multifamily housing units, and $14,025 \mathrm{ft}^{2} \mathrm{GFA}$ of commercial space, as illustrated in Exhibit 1-3, trip generation rates were obtained from the Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE) Trip Generation Manual (10 th edition). Land Use Codes 210 (Single Family Detached), 220 (Multifamily Housing (Low-Rise)), and 820 (Shopping Center) were utilized.

### 4.2.2 Trip Reductions

From the gross trips, 5 two-way trips ( 2 inbound and 3 outbound) have been subtracted in the weekday PM peak hour to account for internal trips (i.e. non-automotive trips made by residents to/from on-site retail).
A second reduction of $60 \%$ was applied in the weekday PM peak hour to account for pass-by retail trips. Pass-by trips arise from existing traffic on the roadway network that enter the proposed development as an intermediate stop on the way to another ultimate destination along the same travel route (i.e. the proposed development is not the destination for these drivers but rather a stop on the way to their destination). It should be noted that the retail space is assumed to be closed during the weekday AM peak hour, according to typical retail business hours, and so it will not generate any trips at this time.

As mentioned in Section 2.2, the transportation mode choice of residents is expected to remain automobile-dependent. Consequently, no trip reductions have been applied to account for other modes of transportation.

### 4.2.3 Trip Generation Summary

The estimated net new inbound and outbound vehicle trips for the proposed development are presented in Exhibit 4-3.

Exhibit 4-3: Trip Generation Summary

| Land Use | Unit | Weekday AM Peak Hour |  |  | Weekday PM Peak Hour |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | IN | OUT | TOTAL | IN | OUT | TOTAL |
| Single Family Detached, 41 units (ITE LUC 210) | Trips/Unit | 0.21 | 0.62 | 0.83 | 0.66 | 0.39 | 1.05 |
|  | \% | 25\% | 75\% | 100\% | 63\% | 37\% | 100\% |
|  | New Trips | 8 | 26 | 34 | 27 | 16 | 43 |
| Multifamily Housing (Low-Rise), 183 units (ITE LUC 220) | Trips/Unit | 0.11 | 0.35 | 0.46 | 0.35 | 0.20 | 0.55 |
|  | \% | 23\% | 77\% | 100\% | 63\% | 37\% | 100\% |
|  | New Trips | 20 | 65 | 85 | 64 | 37 | 101 |
| Shopping Centre, $14,025 \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ (ITE LUC 820) | Trips/1000 ft ${ }^{2}$ | - | - | - | 4.34 | 4.71 | 9.05 |
|  | \% | - | - | - | 48\% | 52\% | 100\% |
|  | Gross Trips | - | - | - | 61 | 66 | 127 |
|  | Internal Trips | - | - | - | -2 | -3 | -5 |
|  | Pass-by Trips (0\% AM, $60 \%$ PM) | - | - | - | -37 | -40 | -77 |
|  | New Trips | - | - | - | 22 | 23 | 45 |
| Net New Trips |  | 28 | 91 | 119 | 113 | 76 | 189 |

Based on Exhibit 4-3, the net new trips generated by the proposed development are estimated to be 119 vehicle trips during the weekday AM peak hour ( 28 inbound and 91 outbound) and 189 vehicle trips during the weekday PM peak hour ( 113 inbound and 76 outbound).

### 4.2.4 Trip Distribution and Assignment

According to the 2016 Transportation Tomorrow Survey (TTS), the study area falls within TTS zone 6360, which also includes the existing residential neighborhoods located east and southwest of the proposed development. As the proposed development is also planned for residential uses, travel data obtained from this TTS zone is deemed to be relevant and so inbound and outbound travel patterns were obtained for this TTS zone.

Exhibit 4-4 presents the distribution of inbound and outbound auto trips applicable to the study area. These distributions were applied to the weekday AM and PM peak hour trip generation estimates associated with the proposed development.
Exhibit 4-4: Trip Distribution for Proposed Development

| Direction <br> (To/From) | Inbound | Outbound |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| North | $53 \%$ | $35 \%$ |
| South | $7 \%$ | $3 \%$ |
| East | $10 \%$ | $10 \%$ |
| West | $30 \%$ | $52 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |

By incorporating the above trip distribution, the pass-by trip assignment of weekday PM peak hour retail activity onto the study area road network is presented in Exhibit 4-5.
Exhibit 4-5: Weekday PM Peak Hour Retail Pass-by Trip Adjustment


Note: The arrows in this diagram do not represent the lane configuration and are meant to represent turning movements.
The assignment of the net new site traffic volumes (i.e. gross trips subtracted by internal and passby traffic activity) is presented in Exhibit 4-6.
With regards to traffic movements to/from the north, there are two possible roads near the proposed development, namely South Grimsby Road 5 and Station Street. Based on the proximity of these roads to the proposed development accesses and surrounding road network, a preference split of $80 \%$ and $20 \%$ was assumed for drivers going to / arriving from the north.

Exhibit 4-6: Net New Site Traffic Volumes


Note: The arrows in this diagram do not represent the lane configuration and are meant to represent turning movements.

### 4.3 Analysis of Traffic Conditions

Net new trips and pass-by trips resulting from the proposed development were added to the future background conditions scenario, producing the 2025 future total traffic volumes illustrated in Exhibit 4-7.

Exhibit 4-7: 2025 Future Total Traffic Volumes


Note: The arrows in this diagram do not represent the lane configuration and are meant to represent turning movements.

Traffic conditions associated with 2025 future total traffic volumes at the study area intersections (excluding the West Street \& Station Street / Griffin Street North intersection, along with the accesses to the proposed development) were analyzed in Synchro, with the results summarized in Exhibit 4-8. Future total Synchro reports are provided in Appendix E.

Exhibit 4-8: 2025 Future Total Traffic Conditions - Analysis Summary

| Intersection | Intersection |  | Lane |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | LOS | Delay <br> (s) | Mvmt | LOS | Delay (s) | v/c <br> Ratio | 95th \% Queue <br> (m) | Storage Capacity (m) |
| AM Peak Hour |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| West Street (RR 20) \& South Grimsby Road 6 | A | 1.8 | WBL | A | 7.9 | 0.02 | 0 | 100 |
|  |  |  | NBL/R | B | 13.8 | 0.17 | 5 | - |
| West Street (RR 20) \& Streamside Drive | A | 2.5 | WBL | A | 8.1 | 0.07 | 2 | 80 |
|  |  |  | NBL/R | B | 14.1 | 0.19 | 5 | - |
| West Street (RR 20) \& South Grimsby Road 5 | A | 2.5 | EBL | A | 8.2 | 0.03 | 1 | 65 |
|  |  |  | SBL/R | B | 13.7 | 0.13 | 3 | - |
| PM Peak Hour |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| West Street (RR 20) \& South Grimsby Road 6 | A | 0.8 | WBL | A | 8.5 | 0.03 | 1 | 100 |
|  |  |  | NBL/R | B | 13.4 | 0.10 | 2 | - |
| West Street (RR 20) \& Streamside Drive | A | 1.0 | WBL | A | 8.5 | 0.03 | 1 | 80 |
|  |  |  | NBL/R | B | 13.4 | 0.10 | 3 | - |
| West Street (RR 20) \& South Grimsby Road 5 | A | 4.7 | EBL | A | 8.4 | 0.09 | 2 | 65 |
|  |  |  | SBL/R | C | 21.2 | 0.47 | 19 | - |

Note: LOS denotes level of service, while v/c represents the volume-to-capacity ratio.
During the weekday AM and PM peak hours, the following operations are anticipated:

- All study area intersections are expected to continue operating well within capacity limits (volume/capacity ratios < 1.00); and
- Queues are expected to be contained within their respective lane storage capacities.

Moreover, the sensitivity analysis for the West Street \& Station Street / Griffin Street North intersection (as noted in Section 2.5) has been repeated for 2025 future total conditions to assess the operational performance of this intersection in consideration of site-generated traffic. The results of the 2025 future total sensitivity analysis are presented in Exhibit 4-9.

Exhibit 4-9: Sensitivity Analysis Summary for Station Street / Griffin Street Traffic Control - Future Total Conditions

| Control Scenario at Station / Griffin Street Approaches | Critical Movement |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | EBL |  |  |  | NBL |  |  |  |
|  | LOS | Delay (s) | v/c Ratio | $\begin{gathered} 95^{\text {th }} \% \\ \text { Queue (m) } \end{gathered}$ | LOS | Delay <br> (s) | v/c <br> Ratio | $\begin{aligned} & \quad 95^{\text {th }} \% \\ & \text { Queue (m) } \end{aligned}$ |
| AM Peak Hour |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All-way STOP-control | B | 12.4 | - | - | C | 22.1 | - | - |
| Uncontrolled | C | 22.0 | 0.57 | 26 | A | 6.1 | 0.23 | 7 |
| PM Peak Hour |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All-way STOP-control | C | 15.3 | - | - | C | 22.7 | - | - |
| Uncontrolled | C | 24.0 | 0.67 | 37 | A | 6.0 | 0.22 | 6 |

Note: Under the all-way STOP-control scenario, $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queue lengths and lane $v / c$ ratios are not provided by the HCM methodology.

Under both theoretical control scenarios, the eastbound left turn movement at the West Street \& Station Street / Griffin Street North intersection LOS ranges from LOS 'B' to 'C' during the weekday AM and PM peak hours under 2025 future total conditions. Thus, it is expected that under the existing control configuration, operations at this intersection will remain acceptable.
Furthermore, the northbound left turn movement is also expected to operate within acceptable conditions, based on the reported LOS ' $C$ ' under the theoretical all-way STOP-control scenario.

### 4.4 Proposed Development Access Operations

Exhibit 4-10 summarizes proposed development access operations under future total traffic operations.

Exhibit 4-10: Proposed Development Access Traffic Conditions - Analysis Summary

| Intersection | Intersection |  | Lane |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | LOS | Delay <br> (s) | Mvmt | LOS | Delay <br> (s) | v/c <br> Ratio | 95th \% Queue (m) | Storage Capacity (m) |
| AM Peak Hour |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  <br> Proposed South <br> Site Access | A | 1.3 | EBL | A | 0.3 | 0.01 | 0 | - |
|  |  |  | SBL/R | B |  | 0.13 | 3 | - |
| South Grimsby Road 5 \& Proposed East Site Access | A | 0.5 | EBL/R | A | 9.6 | 0.01 | 0 | - |
|  |  |  | NBL | A | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0 | - |
| South Grimsby Road 5 \& Proposed Northeast Site Access | A | 0.8 | EBL/R | A | 9.6 | 0.02 | 1 | - |
|  |  |  | NBL | A | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0 | - |
| PM Peak Hour |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| West Street (RR 20) \& Proposed South Site Access | A | 1.0 | EBL | A | 0.7 | 0.02 | 1 | - |
|  |  |  | SBL/R | B | 13.2 | 0.09 | 2 | - |
| South Grimsby Road 5 \& Proposed East Site Access | A | 4.2 | EBL/R | A | 10.0 | 0.14 | 4 | - |
|  |  |  | NBL | A | 3.8 | 0.06 |  | - |
| South Grimsby Road 5 \& Proposed Northeast Site Access | A | 0.4 | EBL/R | B | 10.0 | 0.01 | 0 | - |
|  |  |  | NBL | A | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0 | - |

Overall, all proposed accesses are expected to operate within capacity with acceptable levels of service during the weekday AM and PM peak hours.

## 5 Study Conclusions and Recommendations

IBI Group undertook a TIS for a 25 acre subdivision forming a part of the Northwest Quadrant Secondary Plan Lands. The proposed development, located west of South Grimsby Road 5, and north of West Street (Regional Road 20), consists of 224 residential units and approximately 1303 $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ GFA of commercial space.
The conclusions of the study are summarized below.

- Overall, under 2020 existing conditions, the study area intersections operate within capacity and with acceptable levels of service during the weekday AM and PM peak hours.
- Under 2025 future background conditions with the existing road network maintained, the study area intersections are expected to continue to operate within capacity and with acceptable levels of service during the AM and PM peak hours.
- The proposed development is expected to generate a total of 119 (28 inbound and 91 outbound) and 189 ( 113 inbound and 76 outbound) net new trips during the weekday AM and PM peak hours, respectively. This is based on the full build-out of all proposed units at the proposed development.
- Transportation mode choice within the study area is expected to remain automobile dependent due to the absence of public transit service operating in the study area.
- Under 2025 future total traffic conditions, traffic operations are expected to be comparable to 2025 future background and 2020 existing operations. Traffic operations at the proposed development accesses are also anticipated to operate within capacity with acceptable levels of service.
- Therefore, no recommendations are necessary with regards to improving traffic operations and increasing road traffic capacity.
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## Turning Movement Counts




## Ontario Traffic Inc.

## Total Count Diagram



Comments

## Ontario Traffic Inc. Traffic Count Summary

| Intersection: West St (RR 20) \& Grimsby Rd 6 | Count Date: 18-Oct-17 | Municipality: Smithville |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |







## Project \#19382 - IBI Group

## Intersection Count Report

| Intersection: | West St (RR 20) \& Streamside Dr |
| :--- | :--- |
| Municipality: | Smithville |
| Count Date: | Nov 25, 2019 |
| Site Code: | 1938200001 |
| Count Categories: | Cars, Trucks, Pedestrians |
| Count Period: | 07:00-09:00, 16:00-18:00 |
| Weather: | Clear |

# Traffic Count Map 

Ontario Traffic Inc.
Intersection:
Municipality:
West St (RR 20) \& Streamside Dr
Smithville
TAAFFIC MONITORINO \& SERVICES A PRODUCTS

Count Date:

Nov 25, 2019


# Traffic Count Summary 

Ontario Traffic Inc.
TAAFFIC MONITORING \& SERVICES A PRODUCTS

Intersection:
Municipality:
Count Date:

West St (RR 20) \& Streamside Dr
Smithville
Nov 25, 2019

## - Traffic Summary

North Approach Totals
South Approach Totals

| Hour | Includes Cars, Trucks |  |  |  |  |  | Includes Cars, Trucks |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Left | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Total | Peds | Left | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Total | Peds |
| 07:00-08:00 | 0 | 170 | 8 | 0 | 178 | 0 | 17 | 321 | 0 | 0 | 338 | 0 |
| 08:00-09:00 | 0 | 180 | 31 | 0 | 211 | 0 | 83 | 232 | 0 | 0 | 315 | 0 |
| BREAK |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16:00-17:00 | 0 | 384 | 14 | 0 | 398 | 0 | 30 | 253 | 0 | 0 | 283 | 0 |
| 17:00-18:00 | 0 | 360 | 18 | 0 | 378 | 0 | 11 | 216 | 0 | 0 | 227 | 0 |
| GRAND TOTAL | 0 | 1094 | 71 | 0 | 1165 | 0 | 141 | 1022 | 0 | 0 | 1163 | 0 |

# Traffic Count Summary 

Ontario Traffic Inc.
TAAFFIC MONITORING \& SERVICES A PRODUCTS

Intersection:
Municipality:
Count Date:

West St (RR 20) \& Streamside Dr
Smithville
Nov 25, 2019

## - Traffic Summary

| Hour | East Approach Totals |  |  |  |  |  | West Approach Totals |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Includes Cars, Trucks |  |  |  |  |  | Includes Cars, Trucks |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Left | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Total | Peds | Left | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Total | Peds |
| 07:00-08:00 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 26 | 1 | 13 | 0 | 40 | 0 |
| 08:00-09:00 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 29 | 0 | 54 | 0 | 83 | 6 |
| BREAK |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16:00-17:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 35 | 0 | 45 | 0 |
| 17:00-18:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 26 | 0 |
| GRAND TOTAL | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 71 | 1 | 122 | 0 | 194 | 6 |

Traffic Count Data

| Intersection: | West St (RR 20) \& Streamside Dr |
| :--- | :--- |
| Municipality: | Smithville |
| Count Date: | Nov 25, 2019 |

- qreorddy yruon

| Start Time | Cars |  |  |  |  | Trucks |  |  |  |  | Total Peds |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | - | 1 | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{r}$ | ? | Total | 4 | 1 | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{r}$ | $\bigcirc$ | Total |  |
| 07:00 | 0 | 32 | 0 | 0 | 32 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| 07:15 | 0 | 36 | 2 | 0 | 38 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 |
| 07:30 | 0 | 29 | 2 | 0 | 31 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 |
| 07:45 | 0 | 50 | 3 | 0 | 53 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 0 |
| 08:00 | 0 | 37 | 4 | 0 | 41 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 |
| 08:15 | 0 | 37 | 5 | 0 | 42 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 |
| 08:30 | 0 | 44 | 5 | 0 | 49 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 0 |
| 08:45 | 0 | 42 | 13 | 0 | 55 | 0 | 6 | 3 | 0 | 9 | 0 |
| SUBTOTAL | 0 | 307 | 34 | 0 | 341 | 0 | 43 | 5 | 0 | 48 | 0 |

Traffic Count Data

| Intersection: | West St (RR 20) \& Streamside Dr |
| :--- | :--- |
| Municipality: | Smithville |
| Count Date: | Nov 25, 2019 |


| Start Time | Cars |  |  |  |  | Trucks |  |  |  |  | Total Peds |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 4 | ¢ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{1}$ | $\bigcirc$ | Total | - | 1 | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{+}$ | ? | Total |  |
| 16:00 | 0 | 88 | 5 | 0 | 93 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 |
| 16:15 | 0 | 92 | 4 | 0 | 96 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 |
| 16:30 | 0 | 93 | 3 | 0 | 96 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 |
| 16:45 | 0 | 76 | 2 | 0 | 78 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 |
| 17:00 | 0 | 92 | 5 | 0 | 97 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 |
| 17:15 | 0 | 86 | 6 | 0 | 92 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 |
| 17:30 | 0 | 84 | 3 | 0 | 87 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| 17:45 | 0 | 85 | 4 | 0 | 89 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| SUBTOTAL | 0 | 696 | 32 | 0 | 728 | 0 | 48 | 0 | 0 | 48 | 0 |
| GRAND TOTAL | 0 | 1003 | 66 | 0 | 1069 | 0 | 91 | 5 | 0 | 96 | 0 |

Traffic Count Data

| Intersection: | West St (RR 20) \& Streamside Dr |
| :--- | :--- |
| Municipality: | Smithville |
| Count Date: | Nov 25, 2019 |


| Start Time | Cars |  |  |  |  | Trucks |  |  |  |  | Total Peds |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | - | 1 | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{r}$ | $\bigcirc$ | Total | 4 | 令 | $\xrightarrow{1+}$ | ? | Total |  |
| 07:00 | 4 | 67 | 0 | 0 | 71 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 |
| 07:15 | 6 | 74 | 0 | 0 | 80 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 |
| 07:30 | 3 | 87 | 0 | 0 | 90 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 |
| 07:45 | 3 | 66 | 0 | 0 | 69 | 1 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 |
| 08:00 | 5 | 57 | 0 | 0 | 62 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 |
| 08:15 | 10 | 44 | 0 | 0 | 54 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 |
| 08:30 | 19 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 59 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 |
| 08:45 | 40 | 52 | 0 | 0 | 92 | 9 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 0 |
| SUBTOTAL | 90 | 487 | 0 | 0 | 577 | 10 | 66 | 0 | 0 | 76 | 0 |

Traffic Count Data

| Intersection: | West St (RR 20) \& Streamside Dr |
| :--- | :--- |
| Municipality: | Smithville |
| Count Date: | Nov 25, 2019 |


| Start Time | Cars |  |  |  |  | Trucks |  |  |  |  | Total Peds |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | - | 1 | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{1}$ | $?$ | Total | 4 | 1 | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{r}$ | $\bigcirc$ | Total |  |
| 16:00 | 3 | 59 | 0 | 0 | 62 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 |
| 16:15 | 10 | 49 | 0 | 0 | 59 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 |
| 16:30 | 9 | 73 | 0 | 0 | 82 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 |
| 16:45 | 7 | 55 | 0 | 0 | 62 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 |
| 17:00 | 1 | 60 | 0 | 0 | 61 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 |
| 17:15 | 4 | 59 | 0 | 0 | 63 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| 17:30 | 1 | 59 | 0 | 0 | 60 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| 17:45 | 5 | 29 | 0 | 0 | 34 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| SUBTOTAL | 40 | 443 | 0 | 0 | 483 | 1 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 27 | 0 |
| GRAND TOTAL | 130 | 930 | 0 | 0 | 1060 | 11 | 92 | 0 | 0 | 103 | 0 |

Traffic Count Data

| Intersection: | West St (RR 20) \& Streamside Dr |
| :--- | :--- |
| Municipality: | Smithville |
| Count Date: | Nov 25, 2019 |

## - ypeodddy 7 Se3

| Start Time | Cars |  |  |  |  | Trucks |  |  |  |  | Total Peds |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | - | 1 | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{r}$ | ใ | Total | - | 1 | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{+}$ | $\bigcirc$ | Total |  |
| 07:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 07:15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 07:30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 07:45 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 08:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 08:15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 08:30 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 08:45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SUBTOTAL | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Traffic Count Data

| Intersection: | West St (RR 20) \& Streamside Dr |
| :--- | :--- |
| Municipality: | Smithville |
| Count Date: | Nov 25, 2019 |


| Start Time | Cars |  |  |  |  | Trucks |  |  |  |  | Total Peds |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 4 | - | $\xrightarrow{+}$ | $\bigcirc$ | Total | 4 | 1 | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\text { Pr }}$ | $\bigcirc$ | Total |  |
| 16:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 16:15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 16:30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 16:45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 17:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 17:15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 17:30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 17:45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SUBTOTAL | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| GRAND TOTAL | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Traffic Count Data

| Intersection: | West St (RR 20) \& Streamside Dr |
| :--- | :--- |
| Municipality: | Smithville |
| Count Date: | Nov 25, 2019 |

- पreo.ddy 159 M

| Start Time | Cars |  |  |  |  | Trucks |  |  |  |  | Total Peds |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | - | 1 | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{r}$ | $\bigcirc$ | Total | 4 | 1 | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{r}$ | $\bigcirc$ | Total |  |
| 07:00 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 07:15 | 8 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 07:30 | 7 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 07:45 | 10 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 08:00 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 7 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 0 |
| 08:15 | 5 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 08:30 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 08:45 | 13 | 0 | 32 | 0 | 45 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 8 | 4 |
| SUBTOTAL | 48 | 1 | 60 | 0 | 109 | 7 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 14 | 6 |

Traffic Count Data

| Intersection： | West St（RR 20）\＆Streamside Dr |
| :--- | :--- |
| Municipality： | Smithville |
| Count Date： | Nov 25，2019 |


| 9 | t | 0 | L | 0 | L | 081 | 0 | SLl | $\downarrow$ | †9 | 1VIOL ONVY |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | LL | 0 | ¢¢ | 0 | 91 | 7＊IOİ＠ |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | て | 0 | 0 | St：Ll |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 8 | 0 | カ | 0ع：Ll |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | L | 0 | 9 | 0 | 1 | Sl：Ll |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | † | 0 | 1 | 00：Ll |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 |  | 0 | て | St：9l |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | L | 0 | $L$ | 0 | 0 | 0ع：91 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | L | 0 | － | Sl：9l |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | Ll | 0 | t | 00：91 |
| SpJd 1e701 | 12701 | $0$ | syวnג1 |  | $\Rightarrow$ | 12701 | $\hat{U}$ | s．e］ | $\sqrt{*}$ | 4 | 2m！ 1 Hels |

## Peak Hour Diagram

## Specified Period

| From: | $07: 00: 00$ | From: | $08: 00: 00$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| To: | $09: 00: 00$ | To: | $09: 00: 00$ |

Intersection:
Site ID:
Count Date:

West St (RR 20) \& Streamside Dr
1938200001
Nov 25, 2019


East Approach

| $0 \cdot 1$ | 日 | Totals |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7 | 22 | 29 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 6 | 48 | 54 |


| West Approach |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: |
| Out | In | Total |
| 70 | 101 | 171 |
| 0 | 13 | 13 |
| $\mathbf{8 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 7}$ |



Peds: 0

Peds: 0

0
0
0
0



|  | Out | In | Total |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | 267 | 208 | 475 |
| and | 48 | 26 | 74 |
| $\mathbf{3 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 3 4}$ | $\mathbf{5 4 9}$ |  |

Peak Hour Summary

| Intersection: | West St (RR 20) \& Streamside Dr |
| :--- | :--- |
| Count Date: | Nov 25, 2019 |
| Period: | 07:00-09:00 | Peak Hour Data (08:00-09:00)



## Peak Hour Diagram

## Specified Period

| From： | $16: 00: 00$ |  | From： |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| To： | $18: 00: 00: 00$ | To： | $17: 00: 00$ |

Intersection：
Site ID：
Count Date：

West St（RR 20）\＆Streamside Dr
1938200001
Nov 25， 2019


Peds： 0

| 50 | 日 | Totals |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 10 | 10 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 35 | 35 |

$$
\frac{0}{i} \quad W \int_{s}^{N} \quad E
$$

Peds： 0

|  | West Approach |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Out | In | Total |
| 日 | 45 | 43 | 88 |
| Dod | 0 | 1 | 1 |
|  | 45 | 44 | 89 |

\[

\]



## South Approach

|  | Out | In | Total |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | 265 | 384 | 649 |
| ata | 18 | 35 | 53 |
| 283 | $\mathbf{4 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{7 0 2}$ |  |

East Approach

| Out | In | Total |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |

0

| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |

Peak Hour Summary

| Intersection： | West St（RR 20）\＆Streamside Dr |
| :--- | :--- |
| Count Date： | Nov 25，2019 |
| Period： | 16：00－18：00 |


|  |  | かゅが | ¢ |  |  | す | $\cdots \stackrel{\sim}{6}$ | $\cdots \sim$ | O |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 듬 } \\ & \text { 음 } \\ & \text { 룬 } \\ & \text { む } \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\bar{\sim}$ | 4 |  | ～ | \％ | ～ | 00 |  |
|  | $\frac{n}{0}$ | 0000 | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  |  |  | 00 |
|  | $\Rightarrow$ | 0000 | $\bigcirc$ |  |  | $\bigcirc$ | 00 | 00 |  |
|  | t |  | セி | $\stackrel{\infty}{\stackrel{\infty}{\star}}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\forall}$ | 둥 | $\sim$ | 00 |  |
|  | $\leftarrow$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 00 |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark \checkmark \circ \sim$ | ㅇ | $\underset{\sim}{\underset{\sim}{N}}$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\square}$ | B. | $\bigcirc \bigcirc$ | 00 |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \overline{\mathrm{I}} \mathrm{O} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 0000 | 0 |  |  | $\bigcirc$ | 00 | 00 |  |
|  | n | 0000 | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  |  |  | 00 |
|  |  | 0000 | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |  | $\bigcirc$ | － | 00 |  |
|  | E | 0000 | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | 0 | － | 00 | 00 |  |
|  | ¢ | 0000 | $\bigcirc$ |  | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | 00 |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ | 0000 | $\bigcirc$ |  | － | $\bigcirc$ | 00 | 00 |  |
| South Approach | 든 | ¢ ヲை ¢ | $\underset{\sim}{\infty}$ |  | or | $\underset{\infty}{\infty}$ | $$ | $\infty \underset{0}{\bullet}$ |  |
|  | n | 0000 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  | 00 |
|  |  | 0000 | $\bigcirc$ |  |  | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | 00 |  |
|  | 1 | 0000 | － |  |  | $\bigcirc$ |  | 00 |  |
|  | ¢ |  | R | ஷi | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \underset{\sim}{\infty} \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{O}{\infty}$ | $\underset{\sim}{n} \text { ñ }$ | $\approx \hat{0}$ |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ ¢ の $\wedge$ | m | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\bullet}$ | $\underset{子}{\square}$ | $\stackrel{1}{8}$ |  | $-m$ |  |
| North Approach | ㄷ．0닌 | $\stackrel{\text { ᄂ }}{\sim}$ ¢ $¢$ | ¢ |  | $\stackrel{\infty}{\infty} \underset{\sim}{i}$ | ذ | $\underset{m}{n}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{cc} \mathrm{n} & \infty \\ \infty \\ \infty \end{array}\right.$ |  |
|  | 끌 | 0000 | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  |  |  | 00 |
|  | $\vec{C}$ | 0000 | $\bigcirc$ | － | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | 00 | 00 |  |
|  | 1 | $\cdots \checkmark m \sim$ | さ | $\stackrel{n}{n}$ | $\%$ | $\stackrel{s}{0}$ | $\pm \varnothing$ | 00 |  |
|  | ¢ |  | ゅ | ? | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 3} \\ & \text { in } \end{aligned}$ | ذ | 할 | $\stackrel{\sim}{n}$ ¢ |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ | 0000 | $\bigcirc$ |  | － | $\bigcirc$ | 00 | $\bigcirc 0$ |  |
|  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 든 } \\ & \text { 을 } 0^{\circ} \\ & \frac{0}{\mathrm{o}} \end{aligned}$ | － | 生 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 는 } \\ & \text { 를 } \\ & \text { 른 응 } \end{aligned}$ | n ${ }_{\text {n }}^{\text {c }}$ |




## Ontario Traffic Inc.

## Total Count Diagram



## Comments

## Ontario Traffic Inc. Traffic Count Summary

| Intersection: West St (RR 20) \& Grimsby Rd 5 | count Date: 18-Oct-17 | Municipality: Smithville |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |









## Ontario Traffic Inc.

## Total Count Diagram



Comments

## Ontario Traffic Inc. Traffic Count Summary

| Intersection: Station St (RR 14)-St. Catharine \& | count Date: 18 -Oct-17 | Municipality: Smithville |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |







## Appendix B

## 2019 Existing Conditions - Synchro Reports

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  | $\rightarrow$ | $\geqslant$ | $\checkmark$ |  | 4 | $p$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBR |  |
| Lane Configurations | 4 | 7 | ${ }^{7}$ | 4 | * |  |  |
| Traffic Volume (veh/h) | 200 | 17 | 18 | 274 | 51 | 25 |  |
| Future Volume (Veh/h) | 200 | 17 | 18 | 274 | 51 | 25 |  |
| Sign Control | Free |  |  | Free | Stop |  |  |
| Grade | 0\% |  |  | 0\% | 0\% |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |  |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 217 | 18 | 20 | 298 | 55 | 27 |  |
| Pedestrians |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Width (m) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Walking Speed (m/s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Blockage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right turn flare (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median type | None |  |  | None |  |  |  |
| Median storage veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Upstream signal (m) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| pX, platoon unblocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vC , conflicting volume |  |  | 235 |  | 555 | 217 |  |
| $\mathrm{vC1}$, stage 1 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vC 2 , stage 2 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vCu, unblocked vol |  |  | 235 |  | 555 | 217 |  |
| tC , single (s) |  |  | 4.2 |  | 6.4 | 6.2 |  |
| tC, 2 stage (s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| tF (s) |  |  | 2.3 |  | 3.5 | 3.3 |  |
| p0 queue free \% |  |  | 98 |  | 89 | 97 |  |
| cM capacity (veh/h) |  |  | 1281 |  | 485 | 818 |  |
| Direction, Lane \# | EB 1 | EB 2 | WB 1 | WB 2 | NB 1 |  |  |
| Volume Total | 217 | 18 | 20 | 298 | 82 |  |  |
| Volume Left | 0 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 55 |  |  |
| Volume Right | 0 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 27 |  |  |
| cSH | 1700 | 1700 | 1281 | 1700 | 560 |  |  |
| Volume to Capacity | 0.13 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.18 | 0.15 |  |  |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 3.9 |  |  |
| Control Delay (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 7.9 | 0.0 | 12.5 |  |  |
| Lane LOS |  |  | A |  | B |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 0.0 |  | 0.5 |  | 12.5 |  |  |
| Approach LOS B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average Delay |  |  | 1.9 |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 27.6\% |  | CU Level o | Service | A |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |






## Appendix C

## Sensitivity Analysis - Synchro Reports

|  | 4 |  | 4 | $\dagger$ | 1 | 4 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR |  |
| Lane Configurations | * ${ }^{\prime}$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ | $\dagger$ |  |  |
| Sign Control | Stop |  |  | Stop | Stop |  |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 50 | 177 | 276 | 171 | 142 | 35 |  |
| Future Volume (vph) | 50 | 177 | 276 | 171 | 142 | 35 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |  |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 54 | 192 | 300 | 186 | 154 | 38 |  |
| Direction, Lane \# | EB 1 | NB 1 | SB 1 |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Total (vph) | 246 | 486 | 192 |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Left (vph) | 54 | 300 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Right (vph) | 192 | 0 | 38 |  |  |  |  |
| Hadj (s) | -0.24 | 0.31 | 0.03 |  |  |  |  |
| Departure Headway (s) | 5.4 | 5.2 | 5.4 |  |  |  |  |
| Degree Utilization, x | 0.37 | 0.70 | 0.29 |  |  |  |  |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 610 | 669 | 634 |  |  |  |  |
| Control Delay (s) | 11.6 | 19.7 | 10.5 |  |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 11.6 | 19.7 | 10.5 |  |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS | B | C | B |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Delay |  |  | 15.6 |  |  |  |  |
| Level of Service |  |  | C |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 61.8\% |  | CU Level | Service | B |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |





|  | 4 |  | 4 | $\dagger$ | $\frac{1}{*}$ | 4 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR |  |
| Lane Configurations | * |  |  | $\uparrow$ | $\dagger$ |  |  |
| Sign Control | Stop |  |  | Stop | Stop |  |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 51 | 180 | 281 | 175 | 147 | 36 |  |
| Future Volume (vph) | 51 | 180 | 281 | 175 | 147 | 36 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |  |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 55 | 196 | 305 | 190 | 160 | 39 |  |
| Direction, Lane \# | EB 1 | NB 1 | SB 1 |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Total (vph) | 251 | 495 | 199 |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Left (vph) | 55 | 305 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Right (vph) | 196 | 0 | 39 |  |  |  |  |
| Hadj (s) | -0.24 | 0.31 | 0.03 |  |  |  |  |
| Departure Headway (s) | 5.5 | 5.3 | 5.4 |  |  |  |  |
| Degree Utilization, x | 0.38 | 0.72 | 0.30 |  |  |  |  |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 605 | 666 | 629 |  |  |  |  |
| Control Delay (s) | 11.8 | 20.7 | 10.7 |  |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 11.8 | 20.7 | 10.7 |  |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS | B | C | B |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Delay |  |  | 16.2 |  |  |  |  |
| Level of Service |  |  | C |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 62.9\% | ICU Level of Service |  |  | B |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |


|  | $\rangle$ |  | 4 | $\dagger$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR |  |
| Lane Configurations | Y |  |  | $\uparrow$ | $\hat{\dagger}$ |  |  |
| Traffic Volume (veh/h) | 51 | 180 | 281 | 175 | 147 | 36 |  |
| Future Volume (Veh/h) | 51 | 180 | 281 | 175 | 147 | 36 |  |
| Sign Control | Stop |  |  | Free | Free |  |  |
| Grade | 0\% |  |  | 0\% | 0\% |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |  |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 55 | 196 | 305 | 190 | 160 | 39 |  |
| Pedestrians |  |  |  | 2 | 2 |  |  |
| Lane Width (m) |  |  |  | 3.7 | 3.7 |  |  |
| Walking Speed ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s}$ ) |  |  |  | 1.1 | 1.1 |  |  |
| Percent Blockage |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| Right turn flare (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median type |  |  |  | None | None |  |  |
| Median storage veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Upstream signal ( m ) |  |  |  |  | 340 |  |  |
| pX, platoon unblocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VC , conflicting volume | 982 | 182 | 160 |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{vC1}$, stage 1 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{vC2}$, stage 2 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vCu, unblocked vol | 982 | 182 | 160 |  |  |  |  |
| tC , single (s) | 6.6 | 6.3 | 4.2 |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{tC}, 2$ stage (s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| tF (s) | 3.7 | 3.4 | 2.3 |  |  |  |  |
| p0 queue free \% | 72 | 77 | 77 |  |  |  |  |
| cM capacity (veh/h) | 199 | 842 | 1344 |  |  |  |  |
| Direction, Lane \# | EB 1 | NB 1 | SB 1 |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Total | 251 | 495 | 199 |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Left | 55 | 305 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Right | 196 | 0 | 39 |  |  |  |  |
| cSH | 493 | 1344 | 1700 |  |  |  |  |
| Volume to Capacity | 0.51 | 0.23 | 0.12 |  |  |  |  |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | 21.6 | 6.6 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Control Delay (s) | 19.6 | 6.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Lane LOS | C | A |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 19.6 | 6.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS | C |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average Delay |  |  | 8.4 |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 62.9\% | ICU Level of Service |  |  | B |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |


|  | 4 |  | 4 | $\dagger$ | $\downarrow$ | $\pm$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR |  |
| Lane Configurations | * ${ }^{\prime}$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ | $\hat{\dagger}$ |  |  |
| Sign Control | Stop |  |  | Stop | Stop |  |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 48 | 259 | 250 | 162 | 173 | 50 |  |
| Future Volume (vph) | 48 | 259 | 250 | 162 | 173 | 50 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |  |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 52 | 282 | 272 | 176 | 188 | 54 |  |
| Direction, Lane \# | EB 1 | NB 1 | SB 1 |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Total (vph) | 334 | 448 | 242 |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Left (vph) | 52 | 272 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Right (vph) | 282 | 0 | 54 |  |  |  |  |
| Hadj (s) | -0.26 | 0.25 | -0.03 |  |  |  |  |
| Departure Headway (s) | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.6 |  |  |  |  |
| Degree Utilization, x | 0.51 | 0.69 | 0.38 |  |  |  |  |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 611 | 628 | 604 |  |  |  |  |
| Control Delay (s) | 14.1 | 19.9 | 11.9 |  |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 14.1 | 19.9 | 11.9 |  |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS | B | C | B |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Delay |  |  | 16.1 |  |  |  |  |
| Level of Service |  |  | C |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 67.7\% | ICU Level of Service |  |  | C |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |



|  | 4 |  | 4 | $\dagger$ | $\frac{1}{\dagger}$ | $\pm$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR |  |
| Lane Configurations | M |  |  | $\uparrow$ | $\hat{\beta}$ |  |  |
| Sign Control | Stop |  |  | Stop | Stop |  |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 57 | 192 | 286 | 175 | 147 | 39 |  |
| Future Volume (vph) | 57 | 192 | 286 | 175 | 147 | 39 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |  |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 62 | 209 | 311 | 190 | 160 | 42 |  |
| Direction, Lane \# | EB 1 | NB 1 | SB 1 |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Total (vph) | 271 | 501 | 202 |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Left (vph) | 62 | 311 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Right (vph) | 209 | 0 | 42 |  |  |  |  |
| Hadj (s) | -0.23 | 0.31 | 0.03 |  |  |  |  |
| Departure Headway (s) | 5.5 | 5.3 | 5.5 |  |  |  |  |
| Degree Utilization, x | 0.42 | 0.74 | 0.31 |  |  |  |  |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 601 | 657 | 615 |  |  |  |  |
| Control Delay (s) | 12.4 | 22.1 | 10.9 |  |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 12.4 | 22.1 | 10.9 |  |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS | B | C | B |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Delay |  |  | 17.1 |  |  |  |  |
| Level of Service |  |  | C |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 64.6\% | ICU Level of Service |  |  | C |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |




|  | 4 |  | 4 | $\dagger$ |  | $\downarrow$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR |  |
| Lane Configurations | * |  |  | $\uparrow$ | 个 |  |  |
| Traffic Volume (veh/h) | 54 | 269 | 269 | 162 | 173 | 62 |  |
| Future Volume (Veh/h) | 54 | 269 | 269 | 162 | 173 | 62 |  |
| Sign Control | Stop |  |  | Free | Free |  |  |
| Grade | 0\% |  |  | 0\% | 0\% |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |  |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 59 | 292 | 292 | 176 | 188 | 67 |  |
| Pedestrians |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |
| Lane Width (m) |  |  |  |  | 3.7 |  |  |
| Walking Speed ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s}$ ) |  |  |  |  | 1.1 |  |  |
| Percent Blockage |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Right turn flare (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median type |  |  |  | None | None |  |  |
| Median storage veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Upstream signal (m) |  |  |  |  | 340 |  |  |
| pX, platoon unblocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VC , conflicting volume | 984 | 222 | 188 |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{vC1}$, stage 1 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{vC2}$, stage 2 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vCu , unblocked vol | 984 | 222 | 188 |  |  |  |  |
| tC, single (s) | 6.6 | 6.3 | 4.2 |  |  |  |  |
| tC, 2 stage (s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| tF (s) | 3.7 | 3.4 | 2.3 |  |  |  |  |
| p0 queue free \% | 70 | 63 | 78 |  |  |  |  |
| cM capacity (veh/h) | 197 | 796 | 1345 |  |  |  |  |
| Direction, Lane \# | EB 1 | NB 1 | SB 1 |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Total | 351 | 468 | 255 |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Left | 59 | 292 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Right | 292 | 0 | 67 |  |  |  |  |
| cSH | 527 | 1345 | 1700 |  |  |  |  |
| Volume to Capacity | 0.67 | 0.22 | 0.15 |  |  |  |  |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | 37.2 | 6.3 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Control Delay (s) | 24.4 | 6.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Lane LOS | C | A |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 24.4 | 6.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS | C |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average Delay |  |  | 10.6 |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 70.7\% |  | CU Level | Service | C |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |

## Appendix D

## 2025 Future Background Conditions Synchro Reports

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |







## Appendix E

## 2025 Future Total Conditions Synchro Reports



|  | $\rightarrow$ | $\checkmark$ | 1 | $\square$ | 4 | $p$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBR |  |
| Lane Configurations | 4 | 「 | \% | 4 | M |  |  |
| Traffic Volume (veh/h) | 217 | 17 | 18 | 360 | 52 | 25 |  |
| Future Volume (Veh/h) | 217 | 17 | 18 | 360 | 52 | 25 |  |
| Sign Control | Free |  |  | Free | Stop |  |  |
| Grade | 0\% |  |  | 0\% | 0\% |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |  |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 236 | 18 | 20 | 391 | 57 | 27 |  |
| Pedestrians |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Width (m) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Walking Speed (m/s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Blockage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right turn flare (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median type | None |  |  | None |  |  |  |
| Median storage veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Upstream signal (m) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| pX, platoon unblocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vC, conflicting volume |  |  | 254 |  | 667 | 236 |  |
| $\mathrm{vC1}$, stage 1 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{vC2}$, stage 2 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vCu , unblocked vol |  |  | 254 |  | 667 | 236 |  |
| tC, single (s) |  |  | 4.2 |  | 6.4 | 6.2 |  |
| tC, 2 stage (s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| tF (s) |  |  | 2.3 |  | 3.5 | 3.3 |  |
| p0 queue free \% |  |  | 98 |  | 86 | 97 |  |
| cM capacity (veh/h) |  |  | 1260 |  | 417 | 798 |  |
| Direction, Lane \# | EB 1 | EB 2 | WB 1 | WB 2 | NB 1 |  |  |
| Volume Total | 236 | 18 | 20 | 391 | 84 |  |  |
| Volume Left | 0 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 57 |  |  |
| Volume Right | 0 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 27 |  |  |
| cSH | 1700 | 1700 | 1260 | 1700 | 493 |  |  |
| Volume to Capacity | 0.14 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.23 | 0.17 |  |  |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 4.6 |  |  |
| Control Delay (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 7.9 | 0.0 | 13.8 |  |  |
| Lane LOS |  |  | A |  | B |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 0.0 |  | 0.4 |  | 13.8 |  |  |
| Approach LOS |  |  |  |  | B |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average Delay |  |  | 1.8 |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 32.0\% | ICU Level of Service |  |  | A |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |


|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ | 4 | 4 | $\pm$ | 4 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBR |  |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7}$ | 4 | 4 | 「 | * |  |  |
| Traffic Volume (veh/h) | 36 | 235 | 325 | 32 | 42 | 69 |  |
| Future Volume (Veh/h) | 36 | 235 | 325 | 32 | 42 | 69 |  |
| Sign Control |  | Free | Free |  | Stop |  |  |
| Grade |  | 0\% | 0\% |  | 0\% |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |  |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 39 | 255 | 353 | 35 | 46 | 75 |  |
| Pedestrians |  |  | 11 |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Width (m) |  |  | 3.7 |  |  |  |  |
| Walking Speed (m/s) |  |  | 1.1 |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Blockage |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| Right turn flare (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median type |  | None | None |  |  |  |  |
| Median storage veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Upstream signal (m) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| pX , platoon unblocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vC , conflicting volume | 388 |  |  |  | 697 | 353 |  |
| $\mathrm{vC1}$, stage 1 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vC2, stage 2 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vCu , unblocked vol | 388 |  |  |  | 697 | 353 |  |
| tC, single (s) | 4.1 |  |  |  | 6.4 | 6.2 |  |
| tC, 2 stage (s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| tF (s) | 2.2 |  |  |  | 3.5 | 3.3 |  |
| p0 queue free \% | 97 |  |  |  | 88 | 89 |  |
| cM capacity (veh/h) | 1182 |  |  |  | 390 | 688 |  |
| Direction, Lane \# | EB 1 | EB 2 | WB 1 | WB 2 | SB 1 |  |  |
| Volume Total | 39 | 255 | 353 | 35 | 121 |  |  |
| Volume Left | 39 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 46 |  |  |
| Volume Right | 0 | 0 | 0 | 35 | 75 |  |  |
| CSH | 1182 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 533 |  |  |
| Volume to Capacity | 0.03 | 0.15 | 0.21 | 0.02 | 0.23 |  |  |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6.6 |  |  |
| Control Delay (s) | 8.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 13.7 |  |  |
| Lane LOS | A |  |  |  | B |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 1.1 |  | 0.0 |  | 13.7 |  |  |
| Approach LOS |  |  |  |  | B |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average Delay |  |  | 2.5 |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 39.0\% | ICU Level of Service |  |  | A |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |


|  | 4 |  | 4 | $\dagger$ |  | $\downarrow$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR |  |
| Lane Configurations | * |  |  | $\uparrow$ | $\hat{\beta}$ |  |  |
| Traffic Volume (veh/h) | 9 | 0 | 0 | 68 | 111 | 4 |  |
| Future Volume (Veh/h) | 9 | 0 | 0 | 68 | 111 | 4 |  |
| Sign Control | Stop |  |  | Free | Free |  |  |
| Grade | 0\% |  |  | 0\% | 0\% |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |  |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 10 | 0 | 0 | 74 | 121 | 4 |  |
| Pedestrians |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Width (m) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Walking Speed (m/s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Blockage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right turn flare (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median type |  |  |  | None | None |  |  |
| Median storage veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Upstream signal (m) |  |  |  |  | 335 |  |  |
| pX, platoon unblocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vC , conflicting volume | 197 | 123 | 125 |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{vC1}$, stage 1 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{vC2}$, stage 2 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vCu , unblocked vol | 197 | 123 | 125 |  |  |  |  |
| tC, single (s) | 6.4 | 6.2 | 4.1 |  |  |  |  |
| tC, 2 stage (s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| tF (s) | 3.5 | 3.3 | 2.2 |  |  |  |  |
| p0 queue free \% | 99 | 100 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| cM capacity (veh/h) | 796 | 933 | 1474 |  |  |  |  |
| Direction, Lane \# | EB 1 | NB 1 | SB 1 |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Total | 10 | 74 | 125 |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Left | 10 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Right | 0 | 0 | 4 |  |  |  |  |
| cSH | 796 | 1474 | 1700 |  |  |  |  |
| Volume to Capacity | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.07 |  |  |  |  |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Control Delay (s) | 9.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Lane LOS | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 9.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average Delay |  |  | 0.5 |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 16.6\% | ICU Level of Service |  |  | A |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |



|  | $\rightarrow$ | \% | 1 | $\square$ | 4 | $p$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBR |  |
| Lane Configurations | 4 | 7 | \% | 4 | M |  |  |
| Traffic Volume (veh/h) | 441 | 59 | 31 | 313 | 13 | 19 |  |
| Future Volume (Veh/h) | 441 | 59 | 31 | 313 | 13 | 19 |  |
| Sign Control | Free |  |  | Free | Stop |  |  |
| Grade | 0\% |  |  | 0\% | 0\% |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |  |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 479 | 64 | 34 | 340 | 14 | 21 |  |
| Pedestrians |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Width (m) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Walking Speed (m/s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Blockage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right turn flare (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median type | None |  |  | None |  |  |  |
| Median storage veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Upstream signal (m) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| pX, platoon unblocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vC, conflicting volume |  |  | 543 |  | 887 | 479 |  |
| $\mathrm{vC1}$, stage 1 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{vC2}$, stage 2 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vCu , unblocked vol |  |  | 543 |  | 887 | 479 |  |
| tC, single (s) |  |  | 4.1 |  | 6.5 | 6.2 |  |
| tC, 2 stage (s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| tF (s) |  |  | 2.2 |  | 3.6 | 3.3 |  |
| p0 queue free \% |  |  | 97 |  | 95 | 96 |  |
| cM capacity (veh/h) |  |  | 1021 |  | 298 | 581 |  |
| Direction, Lane \# | EB 1 | EB 2 | WB 1 | WB 2 | NB 1 |  |  |
| Volume Total | 479 | 64 | 34 | 340 | 35 |  |  |
| Volume Left | 0 | 0 | 34 | 0 | 14 |  |  |
| Volume Right | 0 | 64 | 0 | 0 | 21 |  |  |
| cSH | 1700 | 1700 | 1021 | 1700 | 421 |  |  |
| Volume to Capacity | 0.28 | 0.04 | 0.03 | 0.20 | 0.08 |  |  |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 2.1 |  |  |
| Control Delay (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 8.6 | 0.0 | 14.3 |  |  |
| Lane LOS |  |  | A |  | B |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 0.0 |  | 0.8 |  | 14.3 |  |  |
| Approach LOS |  |  |  |  | B |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average Delay |  |  | 0.8 |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 38.0\% | ICU Level of Service |  |  | A |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |


|  | \% | $\rightarrow$ | 4 |  | $\pm$ | $+$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBR |  |  |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{\text {\% }}$ | 4 | 4 | 「 | * |  |  |  |
| Traffic Volume (veh/h) | 94 | 372 | 254 | 84 | 71 | 109 |  |  |
| Future Volume (Veh/h) | 94 | 372 | 254 | 84 | 71 | 109 |  |  |
| Sign Control |  | Free | Free |  | Stop |  |  |  |
| Grade |  | 0\% | 0\% |  | 0\% |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |  |  |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 102 | 404 | 276 | 91 | 77 | 118 |  |  |
| Pedestrians |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Width (m) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Walking Speed (m/s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Blockage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right turn flare (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median type |  | None | None |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median storage veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Upstream signal (m) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| pX , platoon unblocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vC , conflicting volume | 367 |  |  |  | 884 | 276 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{vC1}$, stage 1 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{vC2}$, stage 2 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vCu , unblocked vol | 367 |  |  |  | 884 | 276 |  |  |
| tC, single (s) | 4.1 |  |  |  | 6.7 | 6.5 |  |  |
| tC, 2 stage (s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| tF (s) | 2.2 |  |  |  | 3.8 | 3.6 |  |  |
| p0 queue free \% | 91 |  |  |  | 70 | 83 |  |  |
| cM capacity (veh/h) | 1175 |  |  |  | 255 | 700 |  |  |
| Direction, Lane \# | EB 1 | EB 2 | WB 1 | WB 2 | SB 1 |  |  |  |
| Volume Total | 102 | 404 | 276 | 91 | 195 |  |  |  |
| Volume Left | 102 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 77 |  |  |  |
| Volume Right | 0 | 0 | 0 | 91 | 118 |  |  |  |
| cSH | 1175 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 415 |  |  |  |
| Volume to Capacity | 0.09 | 0.24 | 0.16 | 0.05 | 0.47 |  |  |  |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | 2.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 18.6 |  |  |  |
| Control Delay (s) | 8.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 21.2 |  |  |  |
| Lane LOS | A |  |  |  | C |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 1.7 |  | 0.0 |  | 21.2 |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS |  |  |  |  | C |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average Delay |  |  | 4.7 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 41.7\% | ICU Level of Service |  |  | A |  |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |




|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |

